

Dear All,

The following statement represents my feelings about large media conglomerates use of the public airwaves. There should also be some consideration for fairness and equal time. An essentially free campaign ad for one political party should immediately be followed by a similar ad from the other party, just to be fair and represent the other half of the country. Anything less would not be serving the public interest.

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.